

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,228	11/13/2001	Stuart Leon Soled	NLF-0101	3753
27810	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY P.O. BOX 900			STRICKLAND, JONAS N	
1545 ROUTE 22 EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANNANDALE, NJ 08801-0900		1754		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. d.,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/054,228	SOLED ET AL.
,,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonas N. Strickland	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		coo NOTE bolow):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	see NOTE below),
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	,	rially raduaing or aimplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,9-13,18-21 and 26-30</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ut(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- ABa
		N. HI
		STEVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Pedrick discloses rejuvenating a reversibly deactivated catalyst by treating the catalyst with hydrogen in a slurry phase reactor and that Pedrick does not disclose activation of a regenerated catalyst. However, the Examiner has cited Pedrick, because the instant application claim 1 recites "and containing catalyst rejuvenation means" and the claims do not recite by activation means. Therefore, the cited prior art is directed towards art having rejuvenation means to meet the instant claims. The claims require rejuvenation means, as recited in claim 1, which is taught by Pedrick